

A systematic study of finite BRST-BV transformations within W - X formulation of the standard and the $Sp(2)$ -extended field-antifield formalism

Igor A. Batalin^{(a,c)1}, Klaus Bering^{(b)2}, Peter M. Lavrov^{(c,d)3}

^(a) *P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute,
Leninsky Prospect 53, 119 991 Moscow, Russia*

^(b) *Masaryk University, Faculty of Science,
Kotlarska 2, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic*

^(c) *Tomsk State Pedagogical University,
Kievskaya St. 60, 634061 Tomsk, Russia*

^(d) *National Research Tomsk State University,
Lenin Av. 36, 634050 Tomsk, Russia*

Abstract

Finite BRST-BV transformations are studied systematically within the W - X formulation of the standard and the $Sp(2)$ -extended field-antifield formalism. The finite BRST-BV transformations are introduced by formulating a new version of the Lie equations. The corresponding finite change of the gauge-fixing master action X and the corresponding Ward identity are derived.

Keywords: finite field dependent BRST-BV transformations; W - X field-antifield formalism;

¹E-mail: batalin@lpi.ru

²E-mail: bering@physics.muni.cz

³E-mail: lavrov@tspu.edu.ru

1 Introduction

In recent papers [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6], finite BRST transformations have been studied systematically both in the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formalism in their standard and $Sp(2)$ -extended versions [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. The so-called W - X formulation [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] is known as the most symmetric form of the Lagrangian field-antifield formalism. Dynamical gauge-generating master action W serves as a deformation to the original action of the theory. On the other hand, gauge-fixing master action X serves just as to eliminate the antifield variables. It is remarkable that these complementary master actions W and X do satisfy a set of quantum master equations transposed to each other.

In the present paper we study systematically finite BRST-BV transformations within the W - X formulation both in the standard and $Sp(2)$ -extended field-antifield formalism. We introduce these transformations by formulating the respective Lie equations. Among other things, we derive in this way the effective change in the gauge-fixing master action X , as induced by the finite BRST-BV transformation defined.

2 W - X formulation to the standard field-antifield formalism

Let z^A be the complete set of the variables necessary within the standard field-antifield formalism

$$z^A = \{\Phi^\alpha; \Phi_\alpha^*\}, \quad (2.1)$$

whose Grassmann parities are

$$\varepsilon(z^A) = \{\varepsilon_\alpha; \varepsilon_\alpha + 1\}. \quad (2.2)$$

We denote the respective z^A -derivatives as

$$\partial_A = \{\partial_\alpha; \partial_\alpha^*\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let Z be the partition function

$$Z = \int Dz D\lambda \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} [W + X] \right\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where λ^α are Lagrange multipliers for gauge-fixing with Grassmann parity

$$\varepsilon(\lambda^\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha + 1. \quad (2.5)$$

In the partition function (2.4), the dynamical gauge-generating master action W and the gauge-fixing master action X are defined to satisfy the respective quantum master equations,

$$\left(\Delta \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} W \right\} \right) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2}(W, W) = i\hbar(\Delta W), \quad (2.6)$$

$$\left(\Delta \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} X \right\} \right) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2}(X, X) = i\hbar(\Delta X). \quad (2.7)$$

In the above quantum master equations (2.6) and (2.7), the Δ and $(\ , \)$ are the standard nilpotent odd Laplacian

$$\Delta = \partial_\alpha \partial_*^\alpha (-1)^{\varepsilon_\alpha}, \quad (2.8)$$

and the standard antibracket

$$(f, g) = (-1)^{\varepsilon_f} [[\Delta, f], g] 1 = f \overleftarrow{\partial}_\alpha \overrightarrow{\partial}_*^\alpha g - (f \leftrightarrow g) (-1)^{(\varepsilon_f+1)(\varepsilon_g+1)}, \quad (2.9)$$

respectively. These formulae (2.8) and (2.9) tell us that the anticanonical pairs $(\Phi^\alpha; \Phi_\alpha^*)$ serve as Darboux coordinates on the flat field-antifield phase space with measure density $\rho = 1$ and no odd scalar curvature $\nu_\rho = 0$.

At $\hbar = 0$, $\Phi_\alpha^* = 0$, the W -action coincides with the original action of the theory. As to the X -action, it can be chosen in the form related to the gauge-fixing Fermion $\Psi(\Phi)$,

$$X = (\Phi_\alpha^* - \Psi(\Phi) \overleftarrow{\partial}_\alpha) \lambda^\alpha = \Phi_\alpha^* \lambda^\alpha - \Psi(\Phi) \overleftarrow{d}, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\overleftarrow{d} = \overleftarrow{\partial}_\alpha \lambda^\alpha \quad (2.11)$$

is a nilpotent Fermionic differential that acts from the right.

In the integrand of the path integral (2.4), consider now the following infinitesimal BRST-BV transformation

$$\delta z^A = -\mu(Y, z^A) - \frac{\hbar}{i}(\mu, z^A) = -\frac{\hbar}{i}y^{-1}(y\mu, z^A), \quad (2.12)$$

where we have defined for later convenience

$$Y := X - W, \quad y := \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} Y \right\}, \quad (2.13)$$

and where $\mu(z)$ is an infinitesimal Fermionic function with $\varepsilon(\mu) = 1$. The Jacobian of the infinitesimal BRST-BV transformation (2.12) has the form

$$\ln J = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} (\partial_A \delta z^A) = (Y, \mu) + 2(\Delta Y) \mu + 2 \frac{\hbar}{i} (\Delta \mu). \quad (2.14)$$

The complete action in the partition function (2.4) transforms as

$$\delta[W + X] = [-(W, W) + (X, X)] \mu + \frac{\hbar}{i} (W + X, \mu). \quad (2.15)$$

Due to the quantum master equations (2.6) and (2.7), we then have from Eqs. (2.14) and (2.15) that

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} \delta[W + X] + \ln J = 2(\sigma(X)\mu), \quad (2.16)$$

where $\sigma(X)$ is a quantum BRST generator

$$(\sigma(X)f) = (X, f) + \frac{\hbar}{i}(\Delta f). \quad (2.17)$$

The Eq. (2.16) tells us that the BRST transformation (2.12) induces the following variation

$$\delta X = 2\frac{\hbar}{i}(\sigma(X)\mu). \quad (2.18)$$

to the X -action in the integrand of the path integral (2.4). We conclude that the partition function (2.4) and the quantum master equation (2.7) for X are both stable under the infinitesimal variation (2.18).

Next let t be a Bosonic parameter. It is natural to define a one-parameter subgroup $t \mapsto \bar{z}^A(t)$ of finite BRST-BV transformations by the Lie equation⁴

$$\frac{d\bar{z}^A}{dt} = \bar{\mathcal{H}}^A, \quad \bar{z}^A|_{t=0} = z^A. \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^A \partial_A = -\mu \operatorname{ad}(Y) - \frac{\hbar}{i} \operatorname{ad}(\mu) = -\frac{\hbar}{i} y^{-1} \operatorname{ad}(y\mu), \quad \operatorname{ad}(f)g := (f, g), \quad (2.20)$$

is the corresponding vector field with components

$$\mathcal{H}^A := -\mu(Y, z^A) - \frac{\hbar}{i}(\mu, z^A) = -\frac{\hbar}{i} y^{-1}(y\mu, z^A). \quad (2.21)$$

Note that $\mu(z)$ is now an arbitrary finite Fermionic function. In other words, the Lie equation (2.19) is

$$\frac{d\bar{z}^A}{dt} = (\bar{\mathcal{H}}\bar{z}^A), \quad \bar{\mathcal{H}} := \bar{\mathcal{H}}^A \underline{\partial}_A \quad (2.22)$$

with solution

$$\bar{z}^A = (e^{t\mathcal{H}} z^A). \quad (2.23)$$

Recall that the antibracket for any Fermion $F = y\mu$ with itself is zero: $(F, F) = 0$. This fact yields a conservation law

$$\frac{d(\overline{y\mu})}{dt} = \frac{d\bar{z}^A}{dt} \underline{\partial}_A(\overline{y\mu}) = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \overline{y^{-1}(y\mu, z^A)} \underline{\partial}_A(\overline{y\mu}) = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \overline{y^{-1}(y\mu, y\mu)} = 0, \quad (2.24)$$

⁴For an arbitrary function $f = f(z)$, we use the shorthand notation $\bar{f} = f(\bar{z}) = (e^{t\mathcal{H}} f)$ for the corresponding function with shifted arguments.

so that the following invariance property holds

$$\overline{y\mu} = y\mu. \quad (2.25)$$

The Jacobian of these transformations satisfies the following equation

$$\frac{d \ln J}{dt} = \overline{\text{div} \mathcal{H}}, \quad \text{div} \mathcal{H} := (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^A = (Y, \mu) + 2(\Delta Y)\mu + 2\frac{\hbar}{i}(\Delta \mu). \quad (2.26)$$

The transformed complete action satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} [\overline{W} + \overline{X}] &= \frac{d\overline{z}^A}{dt} \underline{\partial}_A [\overline{W} + \overline{X}] = \left[-\overline{\mu}(Y, z^A) - \frac{\hbar}{i} \overline{(\mu, z^A)} \right] \underline{\partial}_A [\overline{W} + \overline{X}] \\ &= \left[-\overline{(W, W)} + \overline{(X, X)} \right] \overline{\mu} + \frac{\hbar}{i} \overline{(W + X, \mu)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

Due to the transformed master equations (2.6) and (2.7), it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\overline{W} + \overline{X} + \frac{\hbar}{i} \ln J \right] = \frac{\hbar}{i} \overline{a}, \quad (2.28)$$

where we have defined for later convenience

$$a := 2(\sigma(X)\mu). \quad (2.29)$$

By integrating Eq. (2.28) within $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we get

$$\overline{W} + \overline{X} + \frac{\hbar}{i} \ln J = W + X + \frac{\hbar}{i} A, \quad (2.30)$$

where we have defined the average

$$A := \int_0^1 dt \, \overline{a} = \int_0^1 dt \, (e^{t\mathcal{H}} a) = (E(\mathcal{H})a). \quad (2.31)$$

Here E is the function

$$E(x) = \int_0^1 dt \, e^{tx} = \frac{\exp\{x\} - 1}{x}. \quad (2.32)$$

The Eq. (2.30) shows the finite effective change in X induced by the finite transformation $z^i \rightarrow \overline{z}^i$ in the partition function (2.4).

Now consider the left-hand side $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ of the transformed quantum master equation (2.7), where

$$\mathcal{Y} := \frac{1}{2}(X, X) + \frac{\hbar}{i}(\Delta X). \quad (2.33)$$

We have the following Cauchy initial value problem

$$\frac{d\overline{\mathcal{Y}}}{dt} = (\overline{\mathcal{H}} \, \overline{\mathcal{Y}}) \quad \wedge \quad \overline{\mathcal{Y}}|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \equiv 0 \quad (2.34)$$

for arbitrary t .

Thereby, we have confirmed that the quantum master equation (2.7) is stable under the finite BRST-BV transformation generated by Eq. (2.19). Of course, the general expression (2.4) itself is stable under the same transformation, as well.

At this point we would like to investigate the quantum master equation

$$\left(\Delta \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} X' \right\} \right) = 0, \quad (2.35)$$

where we have denoted the new gauge-fixing master action,

$$X' = X + \frac{\hbar}{i} A. \quad (2.36)$$

Eq. (2.35) is equivalent to

$$(\sigma(X) \exp\{A\}) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\hbar}{2i}(A, A) + (\sigma(X)A) = 0. \quad (2.37)$$

The exponential $\exp\{A\}$ rewrites in the form

$$\exp\{A\} = e^{(E(\mathcal{H})a)} = (\exp\{\mathcal{H} + a\}1) = (\exp\{H + 2[\sigma(X), \mu]\}1), \quad (2.38)$$

where we have defined the first-order operator

$$H := \frac{\hbar}{i} y \operatorname{ad}(y^{-1}\mu) = -\mu \operatorname{ad}(Y) + \frac{\hbar}{i} \operatorname{ad}(\mu) = \mathcal{H} + 2\frac{\hbar}{i} \operatorname{ad}(\mu), \quad (2.39)$$

and used the formula

$$[\sigma(X), f] = (\sigma(X)f) + (-1)^{\varepsilon_f} \frac{\hbar}{i} \operatorname{ad}(f) \quad (2.40)$$

for a function f . Hence Eqs. (2.35)/(2.37) is equivalent to

$$[\sigma(X), \exp\{H + 2[\sigma(X), \mu]\}]1 = 0. \quad (2.41)$$

In general, it looks as if Eq. (2.35)/(2.37)/(2.41) serves as a condition for finite field-dependent parameter $\mu(z)$. This equation is certainly satisfied with arbitrary infinitesimal $\mu(z) \rightarrow 0$, to the first order in that. We do not know if the same situation holds for arbitrary finite $\mu(z)$, as Eq. (2.35)/(2.37)/(2.41) is rather complicated in the general case. Also, there is a potential obstacle that the dynamical master action W actually enters that equation. Thus, being finite parameter $\mu(z)$ restricted in its field-dependence, that circumstance would be a crucial specific feature of the W - X formulation.

One can proceed from a solution A to the quantum master equation (2.37). If we ignore Eqs. (2.29) and (2.31), then the quantum master equation (2.37) knows nothing about the parameter μ . Moreover, A serves as an external source in the left-hand side of the Eq. (2.31).

The right-hand side of Eq. (2.31) knows about parameter μ via its explicit appearance in Eqs. (2.20) and (2.31). Thereby, the aspects related to the quantum master equation (2.37) by itself, and to the parameter μ , are separated naturally. From this point of view, it sounds not so plausible that the Eq. (2.31) could allow for finite arbitrary parameter $\mu(z)$. If one rescales parameters in Eqs. (2.37) and (2.31),

$$\mu \rightarrow \varepsilon \mu, \quad (2.42)$$

with ε being a Boson parameter, and then expands μ and A in formal power series,

$$\mu = \mu_0 + \varepsilon \mu_1 + \dots, \quad (2.43)$$

$$A = A_0 + \varepsilon A_1 + \dots, \quad (2.44)$$

one gets to the first order in ε

$$0 = A_0, \quad (2.45)$$

$$2(\sigma(X)\mu_0) = A_1, \quad (2.46)$$

$$(\sigma(X)A_1) = 0, \quad (2.47)$$

so that μ_0 remains arbitrary to that order. However, to the second order in ε , one has

$$2(\sigma(X)\mu_1) + (\mathcal{H}(\mu_0)\sigma(X)\mu_0) = A_2, \quad (2.48)$$

$$\frac{\hbar}{2i}(A_1, A_1) + (\sigma(X)A_2) = 0, \quad (2.49)$$

so that μ_1 remains arbitrary to that order, while (2.49) restricts μ_0 ,

$$(\sigma(X)H(\mu_0)\sigma(X)\mu_0) = 0, \quad (2.50)$$

with $H(\mu_0)$ being the operator (2.39) as taken at $\mu = \mu_0$. To the third order in ε , the μ_2 remains arbitrary, while the μ_1 is restricted to satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sigma(X)H(\mu_0)\sigma(X)\mu_1) + (\sigma(X)H(\mu_1)\sigma(X)\mu_0) \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{3}\sigma(X)(H(\mu_0) + 2[\sigma(X), \mu_0])^2\sigma(X)\mu_0 \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

The same situation holds to higher orders in ε : to each subsequent order, the respective coefficient in μ remains arbitrary, while the preceding coefficient in μ becomes restricted. Of course, it looks rather difficult to estimate on being such a strange procedure "convergent" to infinite order in ε .

It may look a bit strange that the operator H from Eq. (2.39) appears in Eqs. (2.50) and (2.51) while \mathcal{H} from Eq. (2.20) enters Eq. (2.31). In fact, one could, in principle, proceed directly from the Eq. (2.41) formulated via the operator H from the very beginning. Then one could use the Eq. (2.41), together with the properties

$$(\sigma(X)1) = 0, \quad (H1) = 0, \quad (2.52)$$

to derive the equations (2.50), (2.51). Also, notice that there is an implication

$$(\sigma(X))^2 = 0 \Rightarrow (\sigma(X) \mathcal{O} \sigma(X))^2 = 0, \quad (2.53)$$

with \mathcal{O} being any operator.

Finally we present a simple general argument, based on the integration by parts, that the partition function (2.4) is independent of finite arbitrariness in a solution to the gauge-fixing master action X ,

$$\exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} X' \right\} = \left(\exp \{ [\Delta, \mu] \} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} X \right\} \right) \quad (2.54)$$

$$= \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} X \right\} + \left(\Delta \mu E([\Delta, \mu]) \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} X \right\} \right), \quad (2.55)$$

where μ is any finite Fermionic operator and the function $E(x)$ is defined in Eq. (2.32). By substituting Eq. (2.55) into Eq. (2.4) with X' standing for X , and then integrating by parts with Eq. (2.6) taken into account, one observes that the second term in the right-hand side in Eq. (2.55) does not contribute to the integral (2.4). Thereby, the integral (2.4) with X' standing for X reduces to the case of initial X standing for itself. Thus, the partition function is independent of a particular representative of the class (2.54).

3 Ward identities in the standard W - X formulation

Let J_A be external sources to the variables z^A ; then the integral (2.4) generalizes to the generating functional,

$$Z[J] = \int Dz D\lambda \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} [W + X + J_A z^A] \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Arbitrary variation δz^A yields the equations of motion,

$$\langle \partial_B (W + X) \rangle_J + J_B (-1)^{\varepsilon_B} = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle_J$ is the source-dependent mean-value

$$\langle \dots \rangle_J = \frac{1}{Z[J]} \int Dz D\lambda (\dots) \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} [W + X + J_A z^A] \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

It follows from Eq. (3.2) that

$$\langle J_A \omega^{AB} \partial_B (W + X) \rangle_J + J_A \omega^{AB} J_B (-1)^{\varepsilon_B} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\omega^{AB} = (z^A, z^B) = \text{const} \quad (3.5)$$

is the fundamental invertible antibracket. In Eq. (3.1), the BRST-BV variation (2.12) yields

$$\langle J_A \omega^{AB} \partial_B Y \rangle_J = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

due to Eq. (2.16) for $\mu = \text{const}$. It follows then from Eqs. (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$\langle J_A \omega^{AB} \partial_B W \rangle_J = -\frac{1}{2} J_A \omega^{AB} J_B (-1)^{\varepsilon_B}. \quad (3.7)$$

Thus we have eliminated the average (2.31) of the gauge-fixing master action X from the new Ward identity (3.7). The price is that we have got the non-homogeneity quadratic in the external sources J in the right-hand side in Eq. (3.7).

Finally, at the level of finite BRST-BV transformations, the relation (2.30) yields

$$\left\langle \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} J_A (\bar{z}^A - z^A) + A \right\} \right\rangle_J = 1. \quad (3.8)$$

However, it is impossible to eliminate the average (2.31) of the gauge-fixing master action X from (3.8).

4 W - X formulation to the $Sp(2)$ -symmetric field-antifield formalism

Let z^A be the complete set of the variables necessary to the W - X formulation of the $Sp(2)$ -symmetric field-antifield formalism [15, 17, 18]

$$z^A = \{\Phi^\alpha, \pi^{\alpha a}, \Phi_{\alpha a}^*, \Phi_\alpha^{**}\} \quad (4.1)$$

whose Grassmann parities are

$$\varepsilon(z^A) = \{\varepsilon_\alpha, \varepsilon_\alpha + 1; \varepsilon_\alpha + 1, \varepsilon_\alpha\}. \quad (4.2)$$

We denote the respective z^A derivatives as

$$\partial_A = \{\partial_\alpha, \partial_{\alpha a}; \partial_\alpha^{**}, \partial_{\alpha a}^*\}. \quad (4.3)$$

Let Z be the partition function:

$$Z = \int Dz D\lambda \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} [W + X] \right\}, \quad (4.4)$$

where λ^α are Lagrange multipliers for gauge-fixing with Grassmann parities

$$\varepsilon(\lambda^\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha. \quad (4.5)$$

In the partition function (4.4), the dynamical gauge-generating master action W and the gauge-fixing master action X is defined to satisfy the respective quantum master equation

$$\left(\Delta_+^a \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} W \right\} \right) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} (W, W)^a + (V^a W) = i\hbar (\Delta^a W), \quad (4.6)$$

$$\left(\Delta_-^a \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} X \right\} \right) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} (X, X)^a - (V^a X) = i\hbar (\Delta^a X). \quad (4.7)$$

In the above quantum master equations (4.6) and (4.7), the $\Delta^a, (,)^a, V^a$ and Δ_\pm^a are the $Sp(2)$ -vector-valued odd Laplacian

$$\Delta^a = \partial_\alpha \partial_*^{\alpha a} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\alpha} + \varepsilon^{ab} \partial_{ab} \partial_{**}^\alpha (-1)^{\varepsilon_\alpha + 1}, \quad (4.8)$$

antibracket

$$(f, g)^a = (-1)^{\varepsilon_f} [[\Delta^a, f], g] 1 = f \left[\overleftarrow{\partial}_\alpha \overrightarrow{\partial}_*^{\alpha a} + \varepsilon^{ab} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{ab} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{**}^\alpha \right] g - (f \leftrightarrow g) (-1)^{(\varepsilon_f + 1)(\varepsilon_g + 1)}, \quad (4.9)$$

special vector field

$$V^a = V^{Aa} \partial_A = \varepsilon^{ab} \Phi_{ab}^* \partial_{**}^\alpha, \quad (V^a z^A) = V^{Aa}, \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\Delta_\pm^a := \Delta^a \pm \frac{i}{\hbar} V^a, \quad (4.11)$$

respectively. For the W -action, one should require that W is independent of $\pi^{\alpha a}$,

$$(\Phi_\alpha^{**}, W) = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

As to the X -action, it can be chosen in the form related to the gauge-fixing Boson $F(\Phi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \Phi_{\alpha a}^* \pi^{\alpha a} + (\Phi_\alpha^{**} - F \overleftarrow{\partial}_\alpha) \lambda^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} F \overleftarrow{\partial}_\alpha \pi^{\alpha a} \overleftarrow{\partial}_\beta \pi^{\beta b} \varepsilon_{ba} \\ &= \Phi_{\alpha a}^* \pi^{\alpha a} + \Phi_\alpha^{**} \lambda^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} F \overleftarrow{d}^a \overleftarrow{d}^b \varepsilon_{ba}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$\overleftarrow{d}^a = \overleftarrow{\partial}_\alpha \pi^{\alpha a} - \overleftarrow{\partial}_{ab} \lambda^\alpha \varepsilon^{ba} \quad (4.14)$$

is a $Sp(2)$ -vector-valued Fermionic differential that acts from the right.

In the integrand of the path integral (4.4), consider now the following infinitesimal BRST transformation

$$\delta z^A = -\mu_a(Y, z^A)^a - \frac{\hbar}{i}(\mu_a, z^A)^a + 2\mu_a V^{Aa} = -\frac{\hbar}{i}y^{-1}(y\mu_a, z^A)^a + 2\mu_a V^{Aa}, \quad (4.15)$$

where we have defined for later convenience

$$Y := X - W, \quad y := \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar}Y\right\}, \quad (4.16)$$

and where $\mu_a = \mu_a(z)$ is an infinitesimal $Sp(2)$ co-vector valued Fermionic function. Its Jacobian has the form

$$\ln J = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A}(\partial_A \delta z^A) = (Y, \mu_a)^a + 2(\Delta^a Y)\mu_a + 2\frac{\hbar}{i}(\Delta_-^a \mu_a). \quad (4.17)$$

The complete action in the partition function (4.4) transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta[W + X] &= [-(W, W)^a + (X, X)^a]\mu_a + \frac{\hbar}{i}(W + X, \mu_a)^a - 2[V^a(W + X)]\mu_a \\ &= 2i\hbar(\Delta^a Y)\mu_a + \frac{\hbar}{i}(W + X, \mu_a)^a. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

It follows from Eqs. (4.17) and (4.18) that

$$\frac{i}{\hbar}\delta[W + X] + \ln J = 2(\sigma_-^a(X)\mu_a), \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$(\sigma_-^a(X)f) = (X, f)^a + \frac{\hbar}{i}(\Delta_-^a f) \quad (4.20)$$

is the $Sp(2)$ vector-valued quantum BRST generator.

The Eq. (4.19) tells us that the BRST transformation (4.15) induces the following variation

$$\delta X = 2\frac{\hbar}{i}(\sigma_-^a(X)\mu_a). \quad (4.21)$$

to the X -action in the integrand of the path integral (4.4). We conclude that the partition function (4.4) and the quantum master equation (4.7) for X are both stable under the infinitesimal variation (4.21).

Next let t be a Bosonic parameter. It is natural to define a one-parameter subgroup $t \mapsto \bar{z}^A(t)$ of finite BRST transformations by the Lie equation

$$\frac{d\bar{z}^A}{dt} = \bar{\mathcal{H}}^A, \quad \bar{z}^A|_{t=0} = z^A, \quad (4.22)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^A \partial_A = -\mu_a \text{ad}^a(Y) - \frac{\hbar}{i} \text{ad}^a(\mu_a) + 2\mu_a V^a = -\frac{\hbar}{i} y^{-1} \text{ad}^a(y\mu_a) + 2\mu_a V^a, \quad (4.23)$$

is the corresponding vector field with components

$$\mathcal{H}^A := -\mu_a(Y, z^A)^a - \frac{\hbar}{i}(\mu_a, z^A)^a + 2\mu_a V^{Aa} = -\frac{\hbar}{i}y^{-1}(y\mu_a, z^A)^a + 2\mu_a V^{Aa}. \quad (4.24)$$

This equation implies the $Sp(2)$ -vector-valued counterpart to the equation (2.24),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - 2\bar{\mu}_a \bar{V}^a \right) (\overline{y\mu_b}) &= \left(\frac{d\bar{z}^A}{dt} - 2\bar{\mu}_a \bar{V}^{Aa} \right) \underline{\partial}_A (\overline{y\mu_b}) \\ &= -\frac{\hbar}{i} \overline{y^{-1}(y\mu_a, z^A)^a} \underline{\partial}_A (\overline{y\mu_b}) = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \overline{y^{-1}(y\mu_a, y\mu_b)^a}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

which cannot be completely integrated explicitly to yield a counterpart to the conservation law (2.25).

The Jacobian of the transformation (4.22) satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d \ln J}{dt} = \overline{\text{div} \mathcal{H}}, \quad \text{div} \mathcal{H} := (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^A = (Y, \mu_a)^a + 2(\Delta Y^a) \mu_a + 2\frac{\hbar}{i}(\Delta_-^a \mu_a). \quad (4.26)$$

The complete action in Eq. (4.4) satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} [\overline{W} + \overline{X}] &= \frac{d\bar{z}^A}{dt} \underline{\partial}_A [\overline{W} + \overline{X}] = \left[-\bar{\mu}_a \overline{(Y, z^A)^a} - \frac{\hbar}{i} \overline{(\mu_a, z^A)^a} + 2\bar{\mu}_a \bar{V}^{Aa} \right] \underline{\partial}_A [\overline{W} + \overline{X}] \\ &= \left[-\overline{(W, W)^a} + \overline{(X, X)^a} \right] \bar{\mu}_a + \frac{\hbar}{i} \overline{(W + X, \mu_a)^a} - 2[\bar{V}^a (\overline{W} + \overline{X})] \bar{\mu}_a. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

It follows from Eqs. (4.26) and (4.27) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} (\overline{W} + \overline{X}) + \ln J \right] = \bar{a}, \quad (4.28)$$

where we have defined for later convenience

$$a := 2(\sigma_-^a(X) \mu_a). \quad (4.29)$$

By integrating within $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we get from Eq. (4.28)

$$\overline{W} + \overline{X} + \frac{\hbar}{i} \ln J = W + X + \frac{\hbar}{i} A, \quad (4.30)$$

where we have defined the average

$$A := \int_0^1 dt \bar{a} = \int_0^1 dt (e^{t\mathcal{H}} a) = E(\mathcal{H})a. \quad (4.31)$$

The Eq. (4.30) shows the finite effective change in X induced by the finite transformation $z^A \rightarrow \bar{z}^A$ in Eq. (4.4).

Now consider the left-hand side $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}^a$ of the transformed quantum master equation (4.7), where

$$\mathcal{Y}^a := \frac{1}{2}(X, X)^a + \frac{\hbar}{i}(\Delta_-^a X). \quad (4.32)$$

We have the following Cauchy initial value problem

$$\frac{d\overline{\mathcal{Y}}^a}{dt} = (\overline{\mathcal{H}} \overline{\mathcal{Y}}^a) \quad \wedge \quad \overline{\mathcal{Y}}^a|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \overline{\mathcal{Y}}^a \equiv 0 \quad (4.33)$$

for arbitrary t .

Thereby, we have confirmed that the quantum master equation (4.7) is stable under the finite BRST-BV transformation generated by Eq. (4.22). Of course, the general expression (4.4) itself is stable under the same transformations, as well.

The $Sp(2)$ -extended quantum master equation

$$\left(\Delta_-^a \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} X' \right\} \right) = 0 \quad (4.34)$$

for the new gauge-fixing master action,

$$X' = X + \frac{\hbar}{i} A, \quad (4.35)$$

must be interpreted similarly to what we have explained in Section 2 with respect to the Eq. (2.35). For instance, the $Sp(2)$ vector valued counterpart to the Eq. (2.37) reads

$$(\sigma_-^a(X) \exp\{A\}) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\hbar}{2i}(A, A)^a + (\sigma_-^a(X)A) = 0. \quad (4.36)$$

Finally, the respective $Sp(2)$ symmetric counterpart to the Eqs. (2.54)-(2.55) reads

$$\exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} X' \right\} = \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} [\Delta_-^b, [\Delta_-^a, \nu]] \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} X \right\} \right) \quad (4.37)$$

$$= \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} X \right\} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \Delta_-^b \Delta_-^a \nu E \left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{cd} [\Delta_-^d, [\Delta_-^c, \nu]] \right) \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} X \right\} \right), \quad (4.38)$$

with ν being any finite Bosonic operator.

5 Ward identities in the $Sp(2)$ -extended W - X formulation

Let J_A be external sources to the variables z^A ; then the integral (4.4) generalizes to the generating functional

$$Z[J] = \int Dz D\lambda \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} [W + X + J_A z^A] \right\}. \quad (5.1)$$

Arbitrary variation δz^A yields the equations of motion,

$$\langle \partial_B(W + X) \rangle_J + J_B(-1)^{\varepsilon_B} = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle_J$ is the source-dependent mean-value

$$\langle \dots \rangle_J = \frac{1}{Z[J]} \int Dz D\lambda (\dots) \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} [W + X + J_A z^A] \right\}. \quad (5.3)$$

It follows from Eq. (5.2) that

$$\langle J_A \omega^{ABa} \partial_B (W + X) \rangle_J + J_A \omega^{ABa} J_B (-1)^{\varepsilon_B} = 0, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$\omega^{ABa} = (z^A, z^B)^a = \text{const} \quad (5.5)$$

is the fundamental $Sp(2)$ antibracket. In Eq. (5.1), the BRST-BV variation (4.15) yields

$$\langle J_A [\omega^{ABa} \partial_B Y - 2V^{Aa} (-1)^{\varepsilon_A}] \rangle_J = 0, \quad (5.6)$$

due to Eq. (4.19) for $\mu_a = \text{const}$. It follows then from Eqs. (5.4) and (5.6) that

$$\langle J_A [\omega^{ABa} \partial_B W + V^{Aa} (-1)^{\varepsilon_A}] \rangle_J = -\frac{1}{2} J_A \omega^{ABa} J_B (-1)^{\varepsilon_B}. \quad (5.7)$$

Thus we have eliminated the average (4.31) of the gauge-fixing master action X from the new Ward identity (5.7). The price is that we have got the non-homogeneity quadratic in the external sources J in the right-hand side in Eq. (5.7).

Finally, at the level of finite BRST-BV transformations, the relation (4.30) yields

$$\left\langle \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} J_A (\bar{z}^A - z^A) + A \right\} \right\rangle_J = 1. \quad (5.8)$$

However, it is impossible to eliminate the average (4.31) of the gauge-fixing master action X from Eq. (5.8).

6 Conclusions

Notice that, on one hand (and in contrast to the original $Sp(2)$ -formulation [15, 17, 18]), in the $Sp(2)$ -symmetric W - X formulation, the anti-canonical dynamical activity of the variables $\{\pi^{\alpha a}, \Phi_{\alpha}^{**}\}$ [22], as represented by the second term in Eq. (4.8) and in the square bracket of Eq. (4.9), is of crucial importance to satisfy the quantum master equation (4.7) with the ansatz (4.13) for X . On the other hand, $\pi^{\alpha a}$ and Φ_{α}^{**} are kept as dynamically passive (antibracket-commuting) variables in the W -action. Thus, one may realize what is the price of coexistence between the $Sp(2)$ -symmetry and the complementary W - X duality of the quantum master equations (4.6) and (4.7).

Acknowledgments

The work of I.A.B. is supported in part by the RFBR grants 14-01-00489 and 14-02-01171. The work of K.B. is supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (GACR) under the grant P201/12/G028. The work of P.M.L. is partially supported by the Presidential grant 88.2014.2 for LRSS and by the RFBR grant 15-02-03594.

A Algebra of the σ -operators

In this Appendix we present the general formal algebra of the σ -operators, both in the standard and the $Sp(2)$ case.

In the standard case we introduce the σ -operator

$$\sigma(F) := \frac{\hbar}{i} \exp \left\{ -\frac{i}{\hbar} F \right\} \Delta \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} F \right\} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \Delta + \text{ad}(F) + \left(\Delta F + \frac{i}{2\hbar} (F, F) \right) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

for any Bosonic functional F . It inherits the nilpotency

$$\Delta^2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\sigma(F))^2 = 0. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Then straightforward calculation gives the following results for the commutator of $\sigma(W)$ and $\sigma(X)$

$$[\sigma(W), \sigma(X)] = \text{ad}(C), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where

$$C := \frac{\hbar}{i} (\Delta(W + X)) + (W, X) = -\frac{1}{2} (Y, Y) = \frac{4\hbar}{i} (\sigma(\frac{W+X}{2})1), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and where the quantum master equations for W and X are used.

In the $Sp(2)$ case the set of operators $\sigma^a(F)$, $\sigma_{\pm}^a(F)$ for any Bosonic functional F is introduced

$$\sigma^a(F) := \frac{\hbar}{i} \exp \left\{ -\frac{i}{\hbar} F \right\} \Delta^a \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} F \right\} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \Delta^a + \text{ad}^a(F) + \left(\Delta^a F + \frac{i}{2\hbar} (F, F)^a \right), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\sigma_{\pm}^a(F) := \frac{\hbar}{i} \exp \left\{ -\frac{i}{\hbar} F \right\} \Delta_{\pm}^a \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} F \right\} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \Delta_{\pm}^a + \text{ad}^a(F) + \left(\Delta_{\pm}^a F + \frac{i}{2\hbar} (F, F)^a \right). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The $Sp(2)$ nilpotency reads

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta^a, \Delta^b] &= 0, \quad [\Delta^{\{a}, V^{b\}}] = 0, \quad [V^a, V^b] = 0, \\ \Rightarrow \quad [\sigma^a(F), \sigma^b(F)] &= 0, \quad [\sigma_{\pm}^a(F), \sigma_{\pm}^b(F)] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Taking into account the quantum master equations for W and X from Eqs. (A.5)-(A.6) it follows that

$$[\sigma_+^{\{a}(W), \sigma_-^{b\}}(X)] = \text{ad}^{\{a}(C^{b\}), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where

$$C^b := \frac{\hbar}{i} (\Delta_-^b W) + \frac{\hbar}{i} (\Delta_+^b X) + (W, X)^b = -\frac{1}{2} (Y, Y)^b + 2(V^b Y) = \frac{4\hbar}{i} (\sigma^b(\frac{W+X}{2})1). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

References

- [1] P. Lavrov and O. Lechtenfeld, *Field-dependent BRST transformations in Yang-Mills theory*, Phys. Lett. B725 (2013) 382.
- [2] I. A. Batalin, P. M. Lavrov and I. V. Tyutin, *A systematic study of finite BRST-BFV transformations in generalized Hamiltonian formalism*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A29 (2014) 1450127.
- [3] I. A. Batalin, P. M. Lavrov and I. V. Tyutin, *A systematic study of finite BRST-BFV transformations in $Sp(2)$ -extended generalized Hamiltonian formalism*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A29 (2014) 1450128.
- [4] I. A. Batalin, P. M. Lavrov and I. V. Tyutin, *A systematic study of finite BRST-BV transformations in field-antifield formalism*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A29 (2014) 1450166.
- [5] I. A. Batalin, K. Bering, P. M. Lavrov and I. V. Tyutin, *A systematic study of finite BRST-BFV transformations in $Sp(2)$ -extended field-antifield formalism*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A29 (2014) 1450167.
- [6] I. A. Batalin, P. M. Lavrov and I. V. Tyutin, *Finite BRST-BFV transformations for dynamical systems with second-class constraints*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A30 (2015) 1550108.
- [7] E. S. Fradkin and G. A. Vilkovisky, *Quantization of relativistic systems with constraints*, Phys. Lett. B55 (1975) 224.
- [8] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, *Relativistic S-matrix of dynamical systems with boson and fermion constraints*, Phys. Lett. B69 (1977) 309.
- [9] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, *Gauge algebra and quantization*, Phys. Lett. B 102 (1981) 27.
- [10] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, *Quantization of gauge theories with linearly dependent generators*, Phys. Rev. D28 (1983) 2567.
- [11] I. A. Batalin, P. M. Lavrov and I. V. Tyutin, *Extended BRST quantization of gauge theories in generalized canonical formalism*, J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990) 6.
- [12] I. A. Batalin, P. M. Lavrov and I. V. Tyutin, *An $Sp(2)$ covariant version of generalized canonical quantization of dynamical system with linearly dependent constraints*, J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990) 2708.
- [13] I. A. Batalin, P. M. Lavrov and I. V. Tyutin, *An $Sp(2)$ covariant formalism of generalized canonical quantization of systems with second-class constraints*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A6 (1990) 3599.
- [14] V. P. Spiridonov, *$Sp(2)$ -covariant ghost fields in gauge theories*, Nucl. Phys. B308 (1988) 527.
- [15] I. A. Batalin, P. M. Lavrov and I. V. Tyutin, *Covariant quantization of gauge theories in the framework of extended BRST symmetry*, J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990) 1487.

- [16] C. M. Hull, *The BRST and anti-BRST invariant quantization of general gauge theories*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A5 (1990) 1871.
- [17] I. A. Batalin, P. M. Lavrov and I. V. Tyutin, *An $Sp(2)$ covariant quantization of gauge theories with linearly dependent generators*, J. Math. Phys. 32 (1991) 532.
- [18] I. A. Batalin, P. M. Lavrov and I. V. Tyutin, *Remarks on the $Sp(2)$ covariant Lagrangian quantization of gauge theories*, J. Math. Phys. 32 (1991) 2513.
- [19] I. A. Batalin and I. V. Tyutin, *On possible generalizations of field - antifield formalism*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A8 (1993) 2333.
- [20] I. A. Batalin and I. V. Tyutin, *On the multilevel generalization of the field-antifield formalism*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A8 (1993) 3673.
- [21] I. A. Batalin and I. V. Tyutin, *On the multilevel field-antifield formalism with the most general Lagrangian hypergauges*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A9 (1994) 1707.
- [22] I. A. Batalin and R. Marnelius, *Completely anticanonical form of $Sp(2)$ symmetric Lagrangian quantization*, Phys. Lett. B350 (1995) 44.
- [23] I. A. Batalin, R. Marnelius and A. M. Semikhatov, *Triplectic quantization: A Geometrically covariant description of the $Sp(2)$ symmetric Lagrangian formalism*, Nucl. Phys. B446 (1995) 249.
- [24] I. A. Batalin, K. Bering and P. H. Damgaard, *Gauge independence of the Lagrangian path integral in a higher order formalism*, Phys. Lett. B389 (1996) 673.
- [25] I. A. Batalin, K. Bering and P. H. Damgaard, *On generalized gauge-fixing in the field-antifield formalism*, Nucl. Phys. B739 (2006) 389.
- [26] I. A. Batalin and K. Bering, *Path Integral Formulation with Deformed Antibracket*, Phys. Lett. B694 (2010) 158.
- [27] I. A. Batalin and K. Bering, *Gauge Independence in a Higher-Order Lagrangian Formalism via Change of Variables in the Path Integral*, Phys. Lett. B742 (2015) 23.